## **ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY ASSIGNMENT**

HZT4U1 - Philosophy - Mr. A. Wittmann - Page 1 of 2

Name:

Section: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_

#### Instructions:

- An annotated bibliography is a series of sources (either books or journal articles) followed by a description of each publication.
- Select 1 philosopher from the list below (1 per student).
- Research and find 10 secondary sources in total (5 printed scholarly book and 5 scholarly journal article on each philosopher).
- No encyclopedias or biographies.
- Write an Annotated Works Cited List or Annotated Bibliography in the Chicago style.
- 2 pages, 1 for 5 articles and 1 for 5 books.
- Refer to Annotated Bibliography Exemplars at http://www.earlhaig.ca/departments/socialscience/downloads/
- You must submit a digital copy to turnitin.com only (no hard copy required).

9. Friedrich Hegel

13. Karl Marx

16. Ayn Rand (f)

12. Søren Kierkegaard

14. Ludwig Feuerbach

15. Friedrich Nietzsche

### List of Philosophers:

- 1. F Francis Bacon
- 2. René Descartes
- 3. Gottfried von Leibniz 4.
- Jean-Jacques Rousseau 5. Thomas Paine
- 6. Mencius
- 7. Johann Gottlieb Fichte 8. Hypatia of Alexandria (f)

### Definition:

- The information for each source includes: a citation and an annotation.
- The citation is the bibliographic information, which allows a reader to identify and find each source used.
- The annotation is a brief (approximately 100 words) descriptive and evaluative paragraph describing.
- Each entry has 2 parts...

#### A) Citation:

1. Follow the format outlined in <a href="https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/717/01/">https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/717/01/</a>

#### B) Annotation (1 sentence for each of the following):

- 1. The author's scholarly background and educational qualifications.
- 2. A brief summary about specific information presented in the source.
- The author's point of view or thesis. 3.
- 4. A comment on the objectivity/bias of the source.
- A statement on the usefulness/relevance of the resource. 5.

#### Article Example:

Johnstone, Mary. "John Locke and the Rise of Democracy." American Journal of Philosophy, 54.6 (1992): 345-356.

Mary Johnstone is a professor of political philosophy at Harvard University who specializes in the development in political systems in the 18th century, and has published several books on European socio-political history and its connection to philosophical enlightenment and scientific discoveries of the age of reason. In this paper, Johnstone argues that John Locke's idealistic theories of knowledge were the direct result of the political shift from authoritarian absolute monarch and church structures, to democratic models and independent faith developments during the reformation. Johnstone claims that Locke's epistemological arguments were heavily influenced by, and even partly borrowed from, Jon Wagner's political treatises on religious self determinism, and Rene's political utopian theories. This study demonstrates a bias toward economic determinism. This source is very useful for research on economic influences on religious and political intellectual development.

#### Book Example:

Smith, Michael. Locke: Epistemology, Ontology and Politics. New York: Routledge, 1993.

Michael Smith is a professor of philosophy at Oxford University and a specialist in 17th and 18th century philosophers, who has published numerous articles regarding the historical relevance of the prevailing thought of that time. In this work, Smith addresses Locke's fundamental ideas concerning the direct translation of perception to knowledge. He places each philosophical contemplation, within a historical context of political and social realities of the age. Smith argues that Locke's meditations were direct reaction of the political and social developments in 17th century France and America. Smith seems to discount many of Locke's epistemological arguments, with a bias towards social contract theory. This source is particularly useful for research on the relationship between epistemological thought and social-political conditions of the 17th and 18th century.

18. Max Weber 19. Bertrand Russell 20. Ludwig Wittgenstein 21. Martin Heidegger 22. John Dewey 23. Jean-Paul Sartre 24. Karl Popper

25. Hans-Georg Gadamer 26. John Rawls 27. Hilary Putnam 28. Mary Wollstonecraft (f) 29. Hannah Arendt (f) 30. Elizabeth Anscombe (f) 31. Al-Farabi 32. Al-Ghazzali

- 34. Zhuangzi 35. Xunzi
- 33. Ibn Rushd

17. Edmund Husserl 10. Joseph von Schelling 11. Arthur Schopenhauer

# ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY ASSIGNMENT HZT4U1 - Philosophy - Mr. A. Wittmann - Page 2 of 2

	Section:	Date:			
ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY ASSIGNMENT MARKING SCH A. Title Page, Presentation, Overall Layout (communication)		Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good 3.5	<b> </b>
<ul> <li>Submitted in appropriate time (0.5 per day late deduction)</li> <li>Title, name, date, course code &amp; section, teacher's name, image</li> <li>Layout well organized and designed as per the exemplar</li> <li>2 pages, 1 for books and 1 for articles with headings</li> </ul>		2.5	-		
<ul> <li>B. Article &amp; Book Citations (think/inquiry)</li> <li>Submitted in appropriate time (0.5 per day late deduction)</li> <li>5 articles and 5 books</li> <li>Well organized, followed style format</li> </ul>	Incomplete 0	Needs Improvement 2.5	Satisfactory 3	Good 3.5	8
<ul> <li>C. Article &amp; Book Annotations (knowledge/understanding)</li> <li>Submitted in appropriate time (0.5 per day late deduction)</li> <li>Article: 5 clear, concise, precise and appropriate statements</li> <li>Book: 5 clear, concise, precise and appropriate statements</li> <li>Well organized and followed format</li> <li>3<sup>rd</sup> person, formal language, grammar, style</li> </ul>	Incomplete 0	Needs Improvement 2.5	Satisfactory 3	Good 3.5	E
<ul> <li>D. Appropriateness of Article &amp; Book (application)</li> <li>Submitted in appropriate time (0.5 per day late deduction)</li> <li>Appropriateness</li> <li>5 Articles: Are the articles useful for this assignment</li> <li>5 Books: Are the books useful for this assignment</li> </ul>	Incomplete 0	Needs Improvement 2.5	Satisfactory 3	Good 3.5	1
Comments:				1	1